the time the Department of Education assumed the
responsibility for the project, this Administration.

Q All right. We are going to talk about that in a
moment, okay? I don't want to get ahead of it. I am still
with Mr. McDonald's letter, so I just want to be clear that

moment, okay? I don't want to get ahead of it. I am still with Mr. McDonald's letter, so I just want to be clear that Mr. McDonald was not complaining about anything that DRC either did fail to do or did improperly in connection with the schools.

A In that case the point presented was to the Department of Education.

Q What do you mean?

A That what is stated in the top of page number 2 is the lack of computers in the classroom.

Q All right. And that was just a problem of the Department of Education?

A Yes, Sir.

Q All right. Now in fact, if we look at the Anderson report which is also here in this book. It is part of Tab 1. The Anderson report is dated October 17, 2001. Is that not right?

A You are right.

Q And is it not true that the Anderson report involved an investigation of the schools that took place between August 23 and September 1 of the year 2000?

A Yes, this is the report of whatever they audited.

And in that report there is nothing about DRC doing Q 1 something wrong or not doing something that it was supposed 2 to do? 3 Α The report states that most of the work was done. 4 5 Q Was done? Α Ah-huh. 6 7 0 Was that most of the work that DRC was supposed to do? 8 Ah-huh. Α 9 Yes? 10 Α In terms of completion of the cutting edge date and 11 in terms of the deployment, but it didn't and the tests that 12 were presented by the suppliers, also. 13 That was all done? 14 Ah-huh. 15 Α So can we then agree that having read All right. 16 both the Arthur Anderson answer report for the portion of 17 Puerto Rico anyway and the McDonald letter, we can agree that 18 Mr. McDonald was not complaining about anything that DRC was 19 supposed to do, had not done or had done improperly? 20 In terms of McDonald's approach, it is right. 21 Now, you told me just a little while ago that you 22 went to Washington to meet with USAC on January 15th, 2002 to 23 discuss a response to Mr. McDonald's concerns.

> Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

24

25

Α

Ah-huh.

your discussions with USAC if Mr. McDonald was not complaining about anything that DRC either did, failed to do or did improperly?

A Well, as I told you before in the prior deposition

Now, how is it then that DRC becomes involved in

A Well, as I told you before in the prior deposition, when we.. In that case, when I became the Director of OSIATD I took for good the word that everything was all right and ready for making the thing work. So we start a connection of schools to begin on July the 1st of that 2001, but it happened that when we started making the connections it didn't work in the way it should be. So that is why we have to go back and make an assessment of what was the real state of the work in that project.

That was the research done by Adonay Ramírez' office and it happened that whatever we presumed in terms of the operational ability of the net was not. At the same time we continued moving on with the training area, with the acquisition of computers and with whatever was supposed to be done. But the connectivity of the schools was not working so in the presentation we made to USAC, the approach was to let them know the state of the project when we got it and whatever we were doing to prove that we were taking care of.

No matter which was the state that we received it, we were trying to make it operational and working. And one of the parts that was not directly stated in Mr. McDonald's

letter but that was halting us in terms of making the project operational is that there was no real connectivity at the schools.

The problem with the electric power and all kind of problems at the end of the day, the schools were not connected. And the ones that we did connect in the morning and sometimes even in the afternoon, they were disconnected again and it was in the part concerning the supplier.

Then we wanted to show USAC we had done a lot of things but still if you go and try to test it, you are going to find out that no matter what we are doing, there is no real connectivity. The students in the schools cannot connect.

Q So you blame it on DRC?

A I blame it in whichever was part of the blame that was on the Department of Education. There was in terms of infrastructure and there was part of the blame that was in the suppliers because the project was not operational, when it is supposed to be. That work was done with the suppliers. So we blame that part in the suppliers. We gave all the evidence that we had at that time, to prove our point.

- Q What ever you told USAC was based on the investigation and the report prepared by Mr. Adonay Ramírez?
 - A Most of them, yes.
 - Q Okay, I think I already asked you this and you

 identified this document as being yours. Prepared by you.

I am referring to a document entitled "Puerto Rico Department of Education Status of Network E-Rate Funded Project" of January 18th, 2002 which is part of tab 3 of Exhibit 1.

I'll tell you what. Let me make a copy of that document since we are going to be talking about that for a little bit and I'll give a copy to everybody.

OFF RECORD

After the recess,

BY MR. CAMILO K. SALAS:

Q I need to ask you some questions about this executive summary of your visit to Washington on April 26th, 2002. Look at page 4 and it indicates there that you had made promise or agreed to continue your efforts to fix the project by doing several things which are listed there on 1 through 7. Item number 2 was what?

A To produce a preparation plan in order to rehabilitate and connect schools with wireless infrastructure of Phase 2.

Q Would it be fair to say that at least at that time on April 26th, 2002 you told USAC that you were going to put the wireless infrastructure that was in Phase 2 schools back to work?

A We would try to recover all the schools that weren't in the wireless Phase 2, yes.

Using the wireless technology?

A Not exactly, because with the wireless we are having the problem with electricity, with power. So we would try to keep the most we can of the investment done by the project. But made whatever changes are viable in order to avoid that problem and make some sort of high rig using wireless. The wireless part that we could save of the wired infrastructure in terms to make it work.

Q What was the problem with the electricity?

A That most of the wireless part of the project used electricity in terms of the access point. All of them need electricity. If I had no electricity in that place, there is no way that I can make it work.

Q Could you not just run electrical connections to the places where the access points were located?

A It included that. The thing is that I didn't have the money because first it was not part of my office to produce the electricity.

- Q Then whose job was it?
- A OMEP or Public Buildings.
- Q And why would they not do it? Was a request ever made from the Department of Education to OMEP to go and provide electrical connections where needed to make the wireless system work?
 - A Yes, we talked about that in a few meetings we had

with the people of OMEP that we were having that problem.

- Q And why did they not do it?
- A They did part of the job. The thing is that there were almost 1,500 schools that they had to check and OMEP had part of it and Public Buildings had the other part.
 - Q Go ahead and finish.

A I do remember that we even used part of some federal money for schools to attend electricity problems. That project was conducted by OMEP but I remember that it was something like \$10,000,000.00 or something like that. That was assigned for schools because we mentioned it in the presentations we made. We mentioned that that money was used for dealing with the electricity problems in the schools.

Q What was needed to be connected were the power points?

A And the location of most of what they call the black boxes and the servers that were in inappropriate places and that were having a lack of electricity connections were done in a poor shape and also out of the places they should be. There were some of them...

I remember I discovered that with the suppliers when we attempted to make a recovery project early in September or October of 2001. They even presented to the Department of Education a prototype of what they think should be done to make the system operational.

Are you saying that in order to move the equipment to other locations, different electrical connections had to 2 be provided in the schools? Even if they were going to be used in the same locations that were not the appropriate, but even if we were going to use it there, they were still having problems with 6 electric power. And what kind of problem was that? This is my opinion, okay? Okay. 10 0 Α 11 12 13

And I am not electric expert. But these schools were designed when most of the equipment that you could have in a school was a typewriter or so and suddenly, you have a lot of computers and servers going into those same places and sometimes these schools only have one outlet. One outlet that is in serial with six other rooms in the same wing. It's like you are trying to run 5 greyhounds in a bus trailer 5 feet wide. It just doesn't work.

- It overloads the circuits, right?
- Yes. Α

1

3

4

5

7

9

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And---

And other than that it is that sometimes they do have the highway but they didn't locate the infrastructure in the highway.

> Who is "they"? MR. A.J. BENNAZAR:

BY MR. CAMILO K. SALAS:

1.1

A (DEPONENT) The suppliers. They located the places in the rooms that were not appropriate to use for that connection.

- Q Sometimes they had them in the highway you say?
- A No. I am just using the analogy. They had a good infrastructure somewhere in the school but the infrastructure of the project was not installed there but in the other side of the school or in another wing of the school or in a room that wasn't appropriate for that.
- Q Now, how much was the Department of Education trying to get USAC to upgrade the electrical systems and connections?

A That specific project, to the best of my recollection, I think that was \$10,000,000.00. But I am not sure. The figure sounds like something like that and that was some federal money that was given to schools in order to work with the infrastructure and the priority was assigned to electricity to make the project work.

I am not sure about the figure, but I am sure about the approach because we presented that approach to USAC and to the FCC in terms of "hey people, we are doing whatever we can do to make this work," including electricity.

Q And those \$10,000,000.00 would have made the school electrical systems better but it still would not have taken

care of all the problems?

A I think that... I was just taking care of the project and I was doing whatever was possible to do to make the project work.

If it fixed all the parts of the schools or whatever, I am not... As I told you I am not an expert in electricity but I was just looking... I want my project to win.

- Q Well, let me rephrase the question. Would your project, the computer's project would have been fully satisfied with \$10,000,000.00 worth of work in all of the schools?
 - A No, Sir.
 - O You needed more money, right?
 - A Yes, Sir.
- Q Do you have any idea of how many more millions of dollars would have been needed to be invested in the schools to support the computer project that you headed off?

A I don't have a figure. But it was a huge amount and the other part that were there, there is almost no way that you can use a transformer in the school and say "hey, nobody else is going to use this electricity that is coming to the school, but the computers."

You do something like that and then the Science
Teacher is going to have a fan and the Home Economics Teacher

is going to get a freezer or something like that and everybody that doesn't have an electrical appliance will bring something to school to do that.

So even though we fixed that, there is no way that we can control that this fix is only for the project. Even though we were trying to make it available to the project because I know because I know it is that if I don't have any electricity, the project is dead.

Q What you are telling me I think is, and correct me if I am wrong, is that really the entire electrical system in each school had to be totally upgraded?

A Not in each school, but the schools that were old and didn't have the facilities. We had schools that were in a very good shape.

Q What percentage of the schools would you say needed a substantial amount of electrical work?

A I think you have to check the reports. I don't have the figures.

Q Let me again give you the document that we were looking at a little while ago which is the Puerto Rico Department of Education Status on the School Network E-Rate Funded Project from January 18, 2002 and that is part of Tab 3 of Exhibit 1.

I think I have asked you about this a couple of questions and I don't remember the answer. Is this a

document that you prepared?

- A It is a document that my office and I prepared.
- Q All right. And what was it that this document was intended to do?
 - A Because of the date---
 - Q Which is what, January 18?
- A January 18, 2002. For me, it looks like a document to be used in our case with USAC and FCC E-Rate Project.

 Because all of what this has over here shows either evidence or ways of actions to be performed or they were under performance to make the system work, to make the project work.
- Q So, basically this was a compilation of any problems that the system had?
 - A I think so.
 - O At the time?
- A At the time. It was a summary of whatever I was aware of or somebody in the office was aware of.
- Q In the section that says "Brief Project Description" starting with the second sentence it says "The project started in 1998-1999 (Year 1) with 760 schools when funds were provided for communication lines, communications equipment and internal connections. Now, that work was done before your Administration arrived?
 - A You are right.

1	Q	Now, communication lines refer to what?
2	A	T-1s.
3	Q	That is what you meant when you wrote the report?
4	Communicat	ion lines means T-1s?
5	A	T-1s.
6	Q	All right. Now, are you sure that there was any
7	funding fo	r T-1s in the first year?
8	А	At this moment I am not sure.
9	Q	Then it says "communications equipment", that would
10	be what?	
11	А	The infrastructure of whatever is in the black box.
12	Q	What do you mean with "whatever is in the black
13	box?"	
14	A	There was a router, there were the cards.
15	Q	Anything else?
16	A	I can't remember, but you can check with Adonay
17	Ramírez wh	o was in charge of them.
18	Q	But you are not including the server or anything
19	like that?	
20	А	I think that the servers came in year number 2. I
21	am not sur	e at this time but I have the feeling that I am
22	not sure.	Whatever I say, I am not sure in that.
23	Q	And internal connections, those would be the
24	connection	.s
25	A	The connections

Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

O In the schools?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- A In year number 1 that was the wiring.
- Q The wiring---
- A The wiring and the---
- Q Where you plug the computers---
- A The drops.
- Q The drops. Then it says "In 1999-2000 (Year 2) phones were provided for communication lines, communications equipment and internal connections for 780 additional schools. Two servers per school were also funded for a total of 1,560."
 - A Ah-huh.
 - Q That refers to the Phase 2 schools, right?
- 14 A Excuse me?
 - Q Is that Phase 2 schools that this is talking about?
 - A Yes and I think that the 2 servers per schools additional were for all schools, Phase 1 and Phase 2.
 - Q But in these schools there were no internal connections for the 780 schools, actually?
 - A Well there are internal connections because the access points are considered internal connections. The point is that they are not wired in terms of a wire to the access point to the servers or the black box. But they are a wireless connection.
 - Q So---

A And also from the black box to the servers, that part is wired.

- Q A couple of feet connections?
- A Yes.

- Q All right. That was done also before your Administration got there?
 - A You are right.
- Q Let me just go back then, and ask you with respect to the year 1, at this time did you find any problems with the work that was done in year 1?
 - A When I became the Director?
 - Q Yes.

A I took for good the word that the suppliers were giving me that everything was all right and it was not until I tried to connect in July that we start discovering that it is not all like they say it was.

The connections were not working the way they told me that they were supposed to be working. I do remember that at that time I had set up 4 generations of what I called V1, V2, V3 and V4. And my expectations at that time, based on the information that the suppliers were giving me was that by the end of December I should have had almost half of the schools of the Department of Education connecting, up and running based on the information that the suppliers were giving me.

Before we started on July, we didn't know that things were nothing like they were telling me. So the first month that was in June that I was in the chair---

Q I guess what I am trying to ask is a very specific question. We know, I think, what was done during the first year, which at least according to the records I reviewed were internal connections for 760 schools for Phase 1 schools.

The specific question is, later on when you got in involved with this project did you receive any information that indicated that the internal wiring, whatever was done during the first year was not done properly?

- A If the schools were not connecting---
- Q No. Again, this is a specific question and I want a specific answer, if you can give it to me.
 - A Ah-huh.
- Q As I understand, during the first year they ran wires and they could take a plug where you hook up your computer and I guess the question is did you obtain any evidence or any information that indicated that that work, the wiring in the little plug or whatever was done during the first year, was not done right?

A That is also infallible that the wiring works most of the time because there is no more science than making a connection that if the connection is doing good it is fine. There is no problem with that.

Q That was precisely my point---

A The only thing you have is to test it with a real signal to check if the connectivity in the cable in working. For that you have to have the signal working. But there is almost no way that it can be done wrong.

Q That was exactly my point. With respect to what DRC did the first year which was running these wires in the schools that as you admit now, is almost impossible to do wrong, you really have no evidence that DRC did anything wrong with the work it did during the first year?

A In terms from the hub or the switch to the drops, I didn't have evidence for it being operational or not because I have no signal. But for me, most of this work is all right. After we tried, it's all right with that.

Q Okay.

A The only thing that I can object about this is where it was located and that is another thing.

Q All right. I don't remember if I discussed that with you or with another witness but basically, that had to do with putting it too close to the window where it might get wet or putting it in areas that are very cramped?

A Or putting it away where it should be close to the libraries or away from the T-1s. I think something like that.

But we will talk about that in a moment, okay?

A That part that you are telling is in page number 4, "servers and communications equipment were installed in inappropriate areas."

Q Page 4? Okay, item number 2. "Servers and communication equipment were installed in inappropriate areas. For instance that would get wet when it rains or that are too small."

A There was another concern that was that the black box cabinets, the ones installed in Phase number 1, they had no ventilation. So when the equipment started working up and the heat builds up, the cards burned out. That was corrected in the year number 2. The black box cabinets did have a ventilator.

Q But that was raised because they added more equipment to those black boxes that generated additional heat. Is that not right?

A As far as I know, the servers were out of the box except for year number... One of the years had 2 servers in the box and 2 on the outside and others had the 4 servers out. So the heat produced by the additional servers were not affecting the cabinet.

The problem with the cabinet of year number 1, didn't have a ventilator and of course the heat builds up, there was no air conditioning in those rooms and the T-1 cards were burning almost all the time. That is the

information we have from Telefónica.

Q So the cards were burning while the black boxes contained only the routers and the cards. That is what you are telling me?

A It was year number 1. Whatever was contained on that. It was in the cabinets installed in year number 1.

- Q And who was telling you that?
- A Telefónica, PRT.
- Q And when were they telling you that?
- A When it started burning.
- Q Which was when?

A After... I think that was... It began with the connections that started in July, but when we started connecting most of the schools then it started burning.

- O That's in 2002, right?
- A The huge part of Telefónica was in 2002.
- O That's when they started to burn?

A No, they started... Even with the first clusters, the small clusters they had at the beginning when they were trying... Both suppliers were trying to show us how it can be recovered, the project. But the huge part of the Phase 1 schools connected in 2002.

Q Regardless of the date, then what you are telling me is that the cards were burning whenever they were trying to connect the Phase 1 schools?

1 Α That's what they told me. 2 And who told you that? Q 3 Α Telefónica. Q All right, and Telefónica also told you that they 4 had not added any additional equipment to the black boxes in 5 the process of connecting the Phase 1 schools? 6 7 I am not sure. I think no additional equipment 8 was... A power supply... In 2002, I think that a power supply was used into the box but I am not sure. You would have to 9 check with Adonay. He has the details on that. 10 Before we go to number 2, just on the heading of 11 that section it says "On September 2001, the consultant 12 presented his report on the status on the school's network. 13 Some of the findings are," first of all, the consultant was 14 Adonay Ramírez, right? 15 Α Yes. 16 And September, 2001, that refers to the Adonay 17 0 Ramírez's report? 18 Yes, Sir. Α 19 Now, Item number 1 says "More than 50% of the 20 communication lines from a sample of 100 schools were not 21 installed, not activated or out of service," right? 22 Α Yes, Sir. 23 Am I correct that only then 100 schools were 24

Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

sampled?

25

At that time? Α 1 Yes. 2 A hundred schools were sampled. 3 Α So that would have been prior to September of 2001, 4 correct? 5 Α You are right. 6 Yes? 7 0 Α Yes. 8 Now the schools that were sampled, were they Phase 9 10 1 or Phase 2 schools? Α Both of them. 11 0 Both of them? 12 Α Yes. 13 So, are you telling me then that prior to September 0 14 of 2001 the Phase 2 schools were supposed to have Internet 15 access already? 16 Remember I told you about the V1 group of schools. 17 That group was extended to 100 schools that we tried to 18 connect and that was a sample we took at that time. But 19 again, Adonay has the details of that. 20 What you are saying is that the V-1 group of 21 schools also included Phase 2 schools? 22 They had both of them, Phase 1 and Phase 2. Α 23 Well, out of this 50% of the 100 schools that were 0 24

Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

25

not installed, not activated or out of service, can you tell

me how many of those were Phase 2 schools?

A No Sir, Adonay might have the details on that. I don't have them.

- Q Then it goes, Item number 2 says "Service and communication equipment were installed in inappropriate areas that get wet when it rains or that are too small." Again, those servers and communication equipment refer to the 100 schools that were sampled?
 - A Yes, Sir.

- O And does that refer to Phase 1 or Phase 2 schools?
- A Both of them.
 - Q Again, from the V1 set of schools?
 - A I think so.
- Q Can you tell us how many Phase 2 schools had servers and communication equipment installed in inappropriate areas?
- A No, Sir. You have to go to the details of the report.
 - O And that would be Adonay Ramírez's report?
 - A It should be in his office.
- Q Now Item number 5 here says "Multi-year contracts were signed." What does that refer to?
- A We had reference that we had to double check with the multi-year contracts that were signed and I made a request that if it was all right or not to have multi-year

contracts. I wanted to be sure that we were doing nothing wrong with that.

At that time I raised a flag to make aware to the people in the Legal Office of the Department and as far as the Auditor's Office to have them check if we were all right or not.

Q And when was that you raised the flag?

A I think that the first time I think I talked about that was when we were revising the contracts for the year number... Before the 470, the year that was in the bidding process of 2001.

- Q All right.
- A So I wanted to be sure that it was all right.
- Q And what did they tell you from the Legal Department?

A That is was not advisable to have these multi-year contracts so I remember I think I talked to Daniel Carmona to check with USAC and I think that we made a note to Lynette Molina.

- Q You talked to Lynette Molina about that?
- A Yes.
- Q About what?

A That I wanted to have the information that we were doing right or wrong with using these multi-year contracts.

O Now, the Legal Department told you that it was not

advisable to have a multi-year contract, but they didn't tell 1 you that it was illegal to have multi-year contracts? 2 Α As far as I remember, I think that nobody told me 3 that it was illegal but that it was not advisable. 4 All right. Q 5 As far as the best of my recollection, my best Α 6 7 recollection was---Do you remember who in the Legal Department told 8 you that? 9 Α No, Sir. 10 Did you get a written opinion from the Legal 11 Department? 12 I don't think so. If it was an opinion, it should Α 13 be at the office. 14 But you are the one who requested either a verbal 15 or a legal opinion from the Legal Department? 16 Also, one of the consultants, Arnaldo Ramos. Ά Yes. 17 He was the one that told me first about this. 18 So Mr. Ramos also asked for an opinion? O 19 Α Yes. 20 From the Legal Department? Q 21 Α I think so. 22 And to your knowledge he also was told the same 0 23 thing? 24 I think. But you would have to ask him. Α

> Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

25

And then you went to Lynette Molina and you posed 1 0 the same question to her? 2 Α I think so. 3 And what did she tell you? 4 I think that it was not advisable to have such a Α 5 contract. I remember that there was something, I think that 6 it was in USAC that was related to a date of the year and 7 from that year on it was not advisable to have multi-year 8 contracts or something like that. 9 But Lynette Molina certainly did not tell you it 10 was illegal to have a multi-year contract? 11 Α Because of my liaison with USAC and whatever 12 information I needed was Daniel Carmona. 13 I am sorry, I didn't understand your last answer. 0 14 Concerning the aspects of the E-Rate project and Α 15 USAC the liaison was Daniel Carmona. 16 And what did he do for you in connection with this 0 17 inquiry about whether multi-year contracts were illegal or 18 19 not? The best of my recollection on that is that it was 20 not advisable to have multi-year contracts. 21 But neither Mr. Carmona nor Lynette Molina told you 0 22 that it was illegal to have multi-year contracts? 23 I don't remember that. Α 24

Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

25

Q

All right. Let's go back to the report that you

Example, 12

.

weeks in a row Microsoft training to non-technical people."

A Ah-huh.

Q What does that mean?

A Well, I still think that training is not just a role call and I was there and nothing happened. There has to be a transfer of knowledge and the way of the very few information we had from the previous Administration concerning technology was, that there was conducted some training but in terms of having a bunch of people and giving them a lecture and if there was a transfer of knowledge or not, who knows?

have in front of you. Item number 7 of page 4 it says

"Ineffective technical training was provided.

The only thing was "just sign there and everything is all right because I have, let's say, 100 people sign in the supplied form." So, I started making sure that the training was given in a way that we can be accountable and that at least certain knowledge is transferred.

Then I started talking about validation of the training. I wanted to have some sort of a test, some sort of a validation that I can present to anybody interested of knowing in any state where the money was invested and I have accountability that some kind of knowledge transfer was performed.

So you basically felt that they have had some kind

of training sessions where people came, signed their names in but basically left not learning anything?

A The information I have and Mr. Ramírez can be more detailed in that, is that this specific concern I am talking about here is that they went like in a Bible. They went to every corner or every junction of the boat and took whatever happened to pass.

It didn't matter if it was the janitor, if it was a teacher or whoever it is and they took it and they gave them 3 courses in a row that even for technicians is a hell of a job, to people that can't make any difference from a microwave oven from the monitor.

Director of OSIATD saying that "hey people, we have trained people." I do not have the face to go to USAC and say we have been doing this kind of training. I want to go to USAC and say "we have trained these people and this is the evidence." More than being present, that some sort of a knowledge transfer was performed. So I gave my policy on that. Training had to be accountable for knowledge transfer.

Q So basically, the Department of Education had not properly trained the use of the system?

A Before we were there, the evidence I had which is very little, was that in terms of technology, that is what had happened.

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

So, basically those people would have problems turning the computers on, signing on and doing any kind of research?

Α I heard and I have to tell you I heard---

0 Yes.

Because as I told you before, you can stand up, in Α front of me in a meeting in a row and I don't know who he is. So, I have no evidence that whatever I heard in the halls or in the computer center that the training was performed that That it's the only thing I have.

When I started trying to make the net operational, I found out that there were people that were having lack of knowledge. So, if I have to go to the stakeholders, in this case USAC, that they were all the state holders and be responsible for them, I have to get accountable.

I can't go here to you and say "I think that this is all right. I think that if somebody else did it, okay. They are going to respond for that." But my point of view here of what I am responsible, I want to be accountable. want to give you the facts. I want anybody in the phase that I was saying that I was working with some hear say information and who knows if it's bad or not?

I understand. Then Item number 8 here says "Many school directors did not know about the project, therefore their identification level with the project is very low or

- -

non existent. Now, what were you referring to there?

A When people from the Department of Education or from the suppliers went to the schools to perform some kind of a job or perform some sort of a project in technology, there were School Directors or Principals that would say "hey, I don't know what the hell is going on with that."

So, that shows me that they were not involved on whatever was going on with the E-Rate project and the cooperation they had to perform with the project. So I gave instructions that School Superintendents and School Directors had to be conducted into a meeting to let everybody know what is going on. To make public the policies we have on the information systems.

I requested time during that year's first meetings that were conducted in 2 different parts of the Island that we wanted to make a short presentation to the School Principals and to the School Teachers present there to make sure that everybody had information, both by presentation and in reality.

Q And part of that included telling the Principals to cooperate with the vendors who were installing equipment, etceteras?

A Yes, because I was having complaints from the vendors---

Q What complaints?

A That they were going into the schools and the School Directors were so protective that they didn't even allow the suppliers to touch it without a letter from the Department of Education and sometimes they didn't have it.

So Adonay's office started doing appointments with the School Principals and letting them know in advance that somebody either from DRC or from Telefónica or from whoever is going to be visiting the schools so to be sure that somebody is there, that the janitors are there. If by any chance you are going to be out of the school that day, leave somebody that can make the petition to let the people go in and this kind of efforts in advance.

So I don't want anybody going from San Juan to Maricao and just arrive at the school to just discover that the School Principal was not there.

- Q And that had happened, right?
- A Yes.
- Q And other things that also had happened was that some of the vendors would come in to install the equipment and the Principals would insist that the equipment be put in a certain room or area of the school?
- A Oh, I had a big discussion with the supplier with that. Of course, most of this happened before I was in the chair. But I remember that I brought this into the table and I told them "listen people, you are the experts. You are the